1889
(L.R.)

File No. 49/1889
Serial 1-10.

Sub:- Appeal of Shanbhog Nanjundaiah of Eachnur, Tiptur Taluk

(15)

Land Rev.

~~Rev. Mis~~ : 49 of 1889

Sls 1–10

Appeal of Shanbhog Nanjundiah of Eachmer, Tiptur Taluk.



Letter from the Deputy Commissioner of the Tum-Kur District No 615 of the 4th February 1891, reporting on the petition of Nunjundiah son of Sivaramiah of Echnur, Tiptur Taluk.

---

1. On the 1st of November 1881, the Shanboque Lakshminarasimmiah presented a petition to the Deputy Commr. of Tumkur praying, on the ground that he was the Registered Sist Shanboque of Echnur 8 Villages, for the restoration of the Shanbhogaveke of the Villages of Echnur, which had been given to one Sivaramiah during his (Lakshminarasimmiah's) minority, and also praying for the recovery of the

Polage

Polagi amount of the
7 Villages in his charge,
which was paid to Siva-
ramiah, who performed
the Shanubavikey duties.
of the only one Village
Echoor: the petition
was referred to the Amil-
dar in the first instance.
On the 1st of January
882, Latchmiaracsim-
miah presented a pete-
tion to the Amildar
of Hoinally, bringing
to notice that he was,
the registered Sat Shan-
bogue of Echoor and
the like 8 Villages, and
that Siveramiah the
registered Sircar Shanu-
bogue. The Sat Shanbogue
did all the work includ-
ing Collections, while the
Sircar Shanubogue pre-
pared Goshwaras and
their papers ~~that~~ ~~shall~~
for

for submission to the Talaty on a salary of Rs. 1/3/-. As a new acct called Baranamoonay was introduced in the year Bhava, and as the preparation of two sets of accounts for one village was cancelled, the Sircar Shanuboguè was then given the Casba Shanubavikey of Echnoor, the Kal Shanboguè enjoying all the service Inam lands. After the introduction of the Survey, the Offices of Shanuboguè were made into salaried appointments, and the salary due to him was not paid.

Survey forms & village accounts

In conclusion I begged that the Shanubavikey of Casba Echnoor might be restored to him who was the registered Kal Shanaboguè.

Again he renewed his application to the

Deputy

Deputy Commissioners
which was referred to
the Amildar for inquiry
and report.

№ 290/173 dated 10-3-82.

3. The Amildar reported
that L. Narasimmiah
was the registered Kul
Shanbogue of the 8
villages, but did only
the work of Hoolkullagiri
~~in one~~ 7 villages;
that Sivaramiah was the
Sirdar Shanbogue, who
was, since the introduction
of the Survey accounts,
permanently attached
to the work of Echnoor
on a salary of Rs. 1/3/-;
that L. Narasimmiah
was enjoying all the
Service Inam lands
of the 8 villages, while
the Sirdar Shanbogue Siva-
ramiah was only in
receipt of the Cutcha
Polage amount of the
8 villages; and that
on the claim of his
being

№ 772/789 dated 22/3/82

No 666/8 d/30/3/82.

No 885/857 d/

4. Thereupon the Deputy Commr requested the Amildar to submit the authorities under which the changes reported in the above were effected, and also to report if the contending parties were relations.

5. The Amildar submitted a detailed report to the following effect:—

"There were 20 villages of which 12 villages were attached to Eelmoor Firka and the remaining 8 to Ramaselly hally. Thippiah was the Sist Shanbogue of Eelmoor Firka and Sankeriah the Sist Shanbogue of Rama Selly hally Firka, while Sivaiamiah was the Circar Shanbogue.

Of these 20 villages

Thippiah

Thippiah was dismissed and his son L. Narasimmiah was appointed in lieu. Of the 12 Villages of Echnoor Firka, 3 Villages (Basavanahally &c) were joined to the adjoining village, leaving as at present 8 villages for that Firka. Before the introduction of the Barnamtoonay account, the Sial Shanboque was ~~for~~ preparing the accounts for the local record and the Cerear Shanboque, the accounts for submission to the Taluk. In the year ~~to~~ Srimookha, Baranamoonay accts came into force, and since then, the Cerear Shanboque Srinivasiah began to do the duties of Cashe Echnoor, and the Sial Shanboque

+ Survey formed of village accounts

L.

of the Polagi amount.

6. The Deputy Commr. in referring the matter to the Survey Superintendent for opinion, stated that 6 form 'Echnoor alone into one scale seemed reasonable in his opinion.

dro 3/315 dated 9-4-83

7. In reply to the above, the Survey Superintendent informed that Echnoor and Hulkultay were made into one scale and that to interfere in a case ~~to~~ disposed of long ago would be inexpedient.

dro 11 dated 23-4-1883.

8. On the 23rd August 1883, when the payment of the Calcha Polagi amount was made, it was ordered that the parties (Narasimh and Sivaramiah) should take each one half of the temporary remuneration

remuneration pending
final adjustment at
the pattka Polagi
settlement; and that as
Sivaramiah received
upto that date the whole of
the Salika Polagi amount,
L. Narasimmiah should
continue to receive the
whole sum till it equalled
the sum already received
by Sivaramiah.

7. Upon this, Sirdar Shan-
bogue Sivaramiah
resigned the Office on the
5th of December 1864,
on the ground that he
found it hard to perform
the duties without any
remuneration, L. Nara-
simmiah being both
the enjoyer of the Polagi
amount and of the
Inam lands.

10. The then Deputy Comm^r
Mr P. N. Krishna Moorty

in

No 833/795 dated 5th March 1890

to draw Calcha Colagi amount and to enjoy Service Inam lands, that his request was not complied with; and that consequently he resigned the appointment, which he had no right to do and begged that he (unconditionally) might be appointed in stead of his father Sivaramiah

13. In reporting on the above, the Amildar stated that of the Echnoor Firka which consists of 8 villages, Echnoor and Hoolcutty were made into one Sale and the remaining six villages into another Sale, that the Polagi amount fixed for the former Sale was Rs 26/14/- and that of the latter Rs 48/10/-, that the petitioners father Sivaramiah was Shanbogue of Echnoor upto the year Fariana, and was in receipt of the Calcha Polagi amount,

that

that subsequently Siva-
ramiah resigned the
appointment, and L. Na-
rasimmiah was appointed
in lieu, that L. Narasim-
miah objected to give
Sivaramiah the Shanu-
bhaveday, Sivaramiah
being neither his Consei,
nor a shareer in the Office
and recommended for
one of the two Scales being
given to the petitioner
Nunjoondiah.

14. The Deputy Commr
made the following order: No. 3/8 dated 28th
March 1890
"Nunjoondiah son of
Sivaramiah prays for
one of the two "Scale" of the
Echnoor Shanbhogy of the
Tipture Taluk, on the ground
that his father had no
right to resign the appoint-
ment in 1884. This argu-
ment might have held
good, had his father
Sivaramiah been an
hereditary Shanbogh;
but he was merely one
of the Government Shan-
bogues appointed
temporarily

temporarily pending the Revenue Survey and Settlement. These men were all removed on the Completion of Survey operations, and they had no right as against the hereditary heirs and claimants of the Shanbogés. The Counsel Cebi L. Narasimmiah was nominated by Mr. P. N. Krishna Murty in 1885, (Vide para 10 ante) on the strength of his "huck" or hereditary right, and the petitioner had no legal or equitable claim upon the Office in and to which Lutchmiara-siah is confirmed, and the petition of Nunjoon-diah is dismissed.

15. It is in these circumstances of the case, the appellant-Nunjoondiah has now preferred an appeal against the above order of Mr. C. Crees.

H. Venkatanarasiah

With the appeal plaint, the petitioner Nunjoondiah has produced certain papers in support of his Contention that the Sircar Shanubaukey of ~~Cauba~~ Echnoor Firka is hereditary to him. Of these papers, one is a copy of the order issued to the Sheikdar of Echnoor Firka by the Amildar of Tumkur ~~Sub~~ Taluk, informing him that the Sircar Shanbogue Latchmiah of Echnoor Hobly had signified his intention to make over the charge of Echnoor to Sivaramiah the father of the Petitioner, for a period of 4 years, as his term of 4 years was over, and that the records showed

& No. 152 dated 5th February 1861.

Latchmiah and Sivappa the grandfather of the Petitioner are brothers.

parties were sharers in the Office, and directing them to make arrangements accordingly.

Another is also a Copy of Order issued by Tiruvenkata Amildar to Sheikdar Vyasa Row of Echnoor Sannat, dated 7th December 1870. In it, it is observed that there has been a great delay on the part of the Sirkar and the Sircar Shanbogues in the Collection duty which suffered very much, and it is ordered that these Shanbogues should take each, equal no. of Villages attached to Echnoor Firka. Another order is detailed number of the Villages to be in charge

charge of the Seal Shanbogue Puttanniah, and the Sircar Shan. bogue Sivaramiah, and the parties have attested their signature in token of their assent to the division effected ~~between~~ by themselves.

Another is an original Order No. 73 dated 5th October 1856. It is issued to Sooreppah the grand-father of the Petitioner by the then Amildar Mr. Ananda Row, making certain arrang-ments in the matter of collection of revenue.

One is an original endorsement No. 10 of the 18th May 1881, granted to petitioner's father Sivaramiah, in reply to his petitions claiming Compensatio

1 by the Dy Supt. of Revenue Survey,

Compensation amount
for the Vilge of Casba
Echmoor. It enjoins that
the Compensation amount
Should be disbursed to
the man who is working.

J.V.

Appellate Jurisdiction
Assignment of the
Judge who was a
Senior Nuremberg

Precis.

Submitted to the
Council 10/7/91

AR

Hearing 10th Sept
1991
Evidentiary
Petitioners
Nuremberg.

AR
10/9/91

Received
14-8-91

M. No. 576 of 27 June 1890

Appt—
Narayanan of
Ichanur
Tottier Dalay
Tanjore Dt.

Respt
Lakshminarasiah

In 1884, appts father who was a Circuit Thandhaj resigned his office, whereupon the appointment was given to the Respt—the Stala Thandhaj— Appt— who was then a minor in 1886 appealed against the appointment of R. Respt which was rejected & repeated it in 1889, ~~the Dy Coll rejected his appeal~~ on the ground that his father had no right to resign his office ~~was forfeited~~, but the Dy Coll rejected his appeal on the ground that appt had no hereditary right to the office.

The present appeal is against that order.—

The Govt agree with

the Dr Cons in
Working that appt
Mrs no right whatever
to claim the office
which her father held
without any hereditary
right & resigned it
voluntarily. — The
appeal is accordingly
dismissed. —

A.C.L
23/10/91

Appeal petition is properly stamped & is in time.
Copy of the order appealed against is produced.
Submitted to Commissioner under Rule of the Act & Rules.
6/8/90.
AS

(General Serial No. 32.)
1890.

No. 576

Name of Petitioner. Nanjundiah S/o Siva Rama

Village. Eechanur

Taluk. Tiptur

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi. 27-6-90

Date of Receipt. 27-6-90

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 22

Section.
File No.
Serial No.

Case pr. reports Pow. 13/12/90

ABSTRACT.

Appeals against the order of the Deputy Commr of the Tumkur Distt dated 28th March 1890 (copy produced) on the following grounds -

The Shanbogue's watan of Eechanur & Ranganatha halli consisted of 20 villages the duties of which used to be performed by both the Petitioners known as "Sthala Shanbogues" & Petr's father as Serkar Shanbogue the former enjoying Inam lands & the

latter enjoying the
perquisites attached to the
office—
At the time of the Police
settlement the above
villages were divided
into 4 scales by the Survey
Dept. & three of them
were given to the "Thala
Theambogues" & one to
Pet[rs] father who held
it till the year 1884
when suffering from
a disorderly state of his
brain he resigned the
charge & the Pet[r] was,
then a minor of 14 years
of age—
As the resignation
tendered by his father
during his (Pet[rs]) minority
cannot be properly recognized
I pray that the charge in
question once more
to the hereditary Theambogues
be restored to them—

27-6-90

No. 576

Regd 27/6/90

Returned with objections

12/11

27-6-90 22

ಸಬ್ ಜೂನಿಯರ್ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರ್
ಹಂಪಾಪಟ್ಟಣ ಸಬ್ ಡಿವಿಜನ್

ಇಲಾಖೆಯು 74-75 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಿಂದ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿರುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕೆಲವು

ಕಾಲಂ ೧೨ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೩ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೪ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೫ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೬ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೭ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೮ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೯ ಕಾಲಂ ೨೦ ಕಾಲಂ ೨೧ ಕಾಲಂ ೨೨

ಕಾಲಂ ೨೨ ಕಾಲಂ ೨೧ ಕಾಲಂ ೨೦ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೯ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೮ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೭ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೬ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೫ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೪ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೩ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೨

೨೭/೬/೨೦

ಕಾಲಂ ೨೨ ಕಾಲಂ ೨೧ ಕಾಲಂ ೨೦ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೯ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೮ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೭ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೬ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೫ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೪ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೩ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೨

ಕಾಲಂ ೧೨ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೩ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೪ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೫ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೬ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೭ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೮ ಕಾಲಂ ೧೯ ಕಾಲಂ ೨೦ ಕಾಲಂ ೨೧ ಕಾಲಂ ೨೨

ಕೆ ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ

ಅಂಬಾಮಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿರುವ ಮನೆಯ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೨೨೨/೨೨೨ ಮತ್ತು ಅದರ ಸುತ್ತಲಿನ ಆವರಣ ಗೋಡೆಯ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೨೨೨/೨೨೨ ಇವುಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಮಹಾನಗರ ಪಾಲಿಕೆಯಿಂದ ಕಟ್ಟಡ ಪರವಾನಗಿ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿ

ಸಂಗತಿಯನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಬಹಳ ಸಂತೋಷವಾಯಿತು. ಅವರು ಆ ಸಂಗತಿಯನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿ ಬಹಳ ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟರ

V.

816.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 576
dated 27th June/90 received at the
Dewan's Office from Kunjimdia
Son of Seeramnah
of Hachamur of the
Kaptur Taluk,

is forwarded, together with its twenty two
enclosures, to the Dy Commissioner,
Tumkur District
for report with Proceedings
and a precis thereof.

Drafted as per
Commissioner's order

By Order,

BANGALORE, 13th
Dec 1890.

for Chief Secretary



OFFICE OF THE
10476
11 FEB. 91
6/2
DEWAN OF MYSORE

(General Serial No. 30.)

1891.

From Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

To Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Dated 4 February 1891.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 23 + Pro-
-ceedings.

General No.

No. 615

Chief Secretary's No 816
of the 31st December 1890.

Reports on the petition of Nanjundiah son of Sivaramaiah of Kachamir, Tiptur Taluk -

P Nelson DC
6/2
8/2/1891

H. Venkataramaiah
for precis by the 25th.
16/4/91 GA

Precis Submitted.
H.V.

13
12-2-91

No 615

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur District.
Dated 4th February 1891.

To,

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to your official Memorandum No. 816 dated 30th December 1890 forwarding petition of Nunjoondiah son of Sivaramiah of Eechnoor of Tiptur Taluk for report and submission of Proceedings, I have the honor to state as follows:—

2. Before the Survey accounts were introduced into the Talug, Sivaramiah the father of the petitioner was the Circar Shanbogue of Eechnoor and 13 other villages on a monthly salary of Rs. 1-3-0. His duty was to prepare the accounts for submission to the Talug. One Lutchmeenursumiah and his ancestors were the village registered Shanbogues. They enjoyed the Meeras and the Godi Lands allowed for Eechnoor and 7 other villages and did the collection of Cundayem and kept the accounts in the villages.

3. After the Survey accounts were introduced the above said Cirkar Shanbogue Sivaramiah (the father of the petitioner) began to prepare the village accounts and receive the temporary remuneration of Rs. 20 as also the monthly pay of Rs. 1-3-0.

4. Lutchmeenursimiah (the said registered Stal Shanbogue) complained against it on the ground that Sivaramiah was merely the Cirkar salaried Shanbogue and that he had no connection with the Stal Shanboggy. It was ordered on the 23rd August 1883 that the parties should take each one half of the temporary remuneration.

5. Upon this Cirkar Shanbogue Sivaramiah resigned the

office

office on the 15th December 1884 and the then Deputy Commissioner in accepting the Razeenamah observed that the Circar Shanbogue was not a hereditary hakdar and confirmed Stal Shanbogue Lutchmeenursimiah in the office.

6. On this the petitioner (son of the said Circar Shanbogue Sivaramiah) prayed for the recovery of the office on the ground that his father had no right to resign it. But his petition was dismissed by Mr. Cress on the ground that his father was merely a Government Shanbogue and had no right against the hereditary Shanbogues.

7. It is against that order that the petitioner now appeals.

8. The enclosures of the docket under reply and the proceedings of the case are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

M. Venkatarasayyar
Deputy Commissioner.

E.L.L.



ನೋಟೀಸ.

No

ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

ಅರ್ಡರಿನ

ಮೇಲೆ ದಾಖಲ್ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಅಪ್ಪೀಲ್ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಮಾಹೇ ತಾರೀಖು 10 ರಲ್ಲು ಹಿಯರಿಂಗ್

ಆಗುವದ್ದರಿಂದ ಆ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ಮಧ್ಯಾನ್ಹ 11 ಘಂಟೆಗೆ

ಮೊಕ್ತಾಂನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಆಫೀಸ್ ರೂಬರೂಬ್ ನೀನಾಗಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ನಿನ್ನ ಕಡೇ ಪ್ಲೀಡರ್ ವ ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ಗಾಗಲಿ

ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು.

By Order,

For Chief Secretary.

ಮೈಸೂರ್ ದಿವಾನ್ ಆಫೀಸ್

ತಾರೀಖು 14/15 ನೇ ಮಾಹೇ

1891 ನೇ ಮೊಕ್ತಾಂ.



ನೋಟೀಸ.

[illegible] ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು [illegible]

[illegible] ಗೆ

ನೀನು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಗುಜರಾ

ಯಿಸಿದ [illegible] ಮಾಹೆ 27 ನೇ ಮರಕುಮಿನ ಅಪ್ಪೀಲ್ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು 10 ನೇ

ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸ [illegible] ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂನಲ್ಲಿ ಯಿಯರಿಂಗ್ ಆಗಲ್ಪಡುವದ್ದರಿಂದ ಆ ದಿವಸ ಮಧ್ಯಾನ್ನ

11 ಘಂಟೆಗೆ ನೀನಾಗಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ನಿನ್ನ ಕಡೇ ಪ್ಲೀಡರ್ ವ ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟಾಗಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಆಫೀಸ್ ರೂಬ

ರೂಬ್ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು.

ಹುಜೂರ್ ದಿವಾ ಆಫೀಸ್.
ತಾರೀಖು. 14/15 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ [illegible]
189 [illegible] ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ.

By Order,
For Chief Secretary.

[illegible]

375

222/4

16-8-91

16-8-91

349

No. 576

Appellant
Nanjundiah of
Ichanur, Tiptur Taluk
Tumkur Dist.

Endorsement.

Respdt
Lakshmmarasiah

In reply to ~~his~~ Petition dated 27th June 1890 Petitioner is informed that ~~the Govt. exercising the Deputy Comm: in thinking that appellant~~ he has no right whatever to claim the office which his father held without any hereditary right and resigned it voluntarily. ~~is informed that~~ The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

2. The enc: are returned.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
26th Oct. 1891.

By Order,

Under Secretary.

Mysore Government Central Press Bangalore.—28-9-91—1000.

5 DEC 91
LIB. OF MICH.

No. 1290

Reply

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಸಿಇಒ/ಜಿಪಂ/ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ/ಕ್ರಿಯಾಯೋಜನೆ/೨೦೨೧-೨೨/೧೨೩೪ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೫.೦೭.೨೦೨೧

ಎಲ್ಲ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು, ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗಗಳು

ವಿಷಯ: ೨೦೨೧-೨೨ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಕ್ರಿಯಾಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನ ಕುರಿತು.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ ೨೮.೦೬.೨೦೨೧

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯ ಮತ್ತು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ, ೨೦೨೧-೨೨ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಕ್ರಿಯಾಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿ ಆಯಾ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೈಗೆತ್ತಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಲು ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

೧. ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಸಭೆಗಳ ಶಿಫಾರಸಿಗೆ ಆದ್ಯತೆ ನೀಡಬೇಕು. ಗ್ರಾಮ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪವಾಗದ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೆತ್ತಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬಾರದು.

೨. ಪ್ರತಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸಿ, ತಾಂತ್ರಿಕ ಮಂಜೂರಾತಿ ಪಡೆದ ನಂತರವೇ ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಕರೆಯಬೇಕು. ಮಂಜೂರಾತಿ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿದರೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯೇ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರರಾಗುತ್ತಾರೆ.

೩. ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಪ್ರಗತಿಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿ ತಿಂಗಳ ೫ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನೊಳಗೆ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಲಿಖಿತವಾಗಿ ವರದಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು. ವಿಳಂಬವಾದರೆ ಕಾರಣ ಕೇಳಿ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ನೀಡಲಾಗುವುದು.

೪. ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಿಗೆ ಬಿಲ್ ಪಾವತಿಸುವ ಮೊದಲು ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಗುಣಮಟ್ಟವನ್ನು ಖುದ್ದಾಗಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ದೃಢೀಕರಣ ನೀಡಬೇಕು. ಕಳಪೆ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕಾರಣಕ್ಕೂ ಬಿಲ್ ಪಾವತಿಸಬಾರದು.

೫. ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಹೆಸರು, ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತ, ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳ್ಳುವ ಅವಧಿಯನ್ನು ಒಳಗೊಂಡ ಫಲಕವನ್ನು ಕಡ್ಡಾಯವಾಗಿ ಅಳವಡಿಸಬೇಕು.

೬. ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಕೂಲಿಕಾರರಿಗೆ ಆದ್ಯತೆ ನೀಡಬೇಕು. ಯಂತ್ರೋಪಕರಣಗಳ ಬಳಕೆಯನ್ನು ಕನಿಷ್ಠಗೊಳಿಸಿ, ಮಾನವ ಶ್ರಮಕ್ಕೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಬೇಕು.

೭. ಯಾವುದೇ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಉಪವಿಭಾಗದ ಅನುಮತಿಯಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಮೊಟಕುಗೊಳಿಸಬಾರದು ಅಥವಾ ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಬಾರದು.

ಈ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟುನಿಟ್ಟಾಗಿ ಪಾಲಿಸಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಹಿ/-
ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ
ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್

ಸಂಪಾದಕರಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರ

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಕಳೆದ ಸಂಚಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಪಾಟೀಲರ ಲೇಖನವು ಕನ್ನಡ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಕಾರ್ಯವೈಖರಿಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೆಲವು ಗಂಭೀರ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಎತ್ತಿದೆ. ಪರಿಷತ್ತು ಕಳೆದ ಮೂರು ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಿದ ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿದೆಯಾದರೂ, ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳು ನಿಜವಾಗಿಯೂ ಓದುಗರನ್ನು ತಲುಪಿವೆ ಎಂಬ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾಗಿದೆ. ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಧೂಳು ತಿನ್ನುತ್ತಿರುವ ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳ ರಾಶಿಯನ್ನು ನೋಡಿದಾಗ ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇನ್ನಷ್ಟು ತೀವ್ರವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಪಾಟೀಲರು ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ, ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಪ್ರಕಟಣಾ ಸಮಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇವಲ ಹಿರಿಯ ಸಾಹಿತಿಗಳಷ್ಟೇ ಅಲ್ಲದೆ, ಯುವ ಓದುಗರ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಗಳನ್ನೂ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು. ಹಾಗೆಯೇ, ಪ್ರತಿ ಪುಸ್ತಕದ ಮಾರಾಟ ಮತ್ತು ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯ ಬಳಕೆಯ ಅಂಕಿಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ವರದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಬೇಕು. ಪಾರದರ್ಶಕತೆಯೇ ಯಾವುದೇ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಜೀವಾಳ.

ಇದಲ್ಲದೆ, ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಆಡಳಿತ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಸಭೆಗಳ ನಡಾವಳಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಲಭ್ಯವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು. ಸಭೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ವಿಷಯಗಳು ಚರ್ಚೆಯಾದವು, ಯಾವ ನಿರ್ಣಯಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಯಿತು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಸದಸ್ಯರು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಹಕ್ಕು ಹೊಂದಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ಕುರಿತು ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಮತ್ತು ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟನೆ ನೀಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಆಗ್ರಹಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳು,
ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ

ಅಂಕಿತ ಪತ್ರ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಕಂದಾಯ ಇ ಸಿ ಆರ್ ಎಂ ಎಸ್ ಎಸ್ ಎಸ್

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 576 of 27th June 1890

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Ranjmudiah of Ichanur, Tiptur Taluk, Tumkur Dist

Lakshmi narasiah

Decision. 26th Octr 91

In 1884, appellant's father, who was a Circari Shanboque, resigned his office, whereupon the appointment was given to the Respondent - the Atala Shanboque. Appellant who was then a minor, appealed in 1886 against the appointment of the Respondent and repeated his claim in 1889, on the ground that his father had no right to resign his office, but the Deputy Commr rejected his appeal on the ground that appellant had no hereditary right to the office.

The present appeal is against this order.

The Government agree with the Deputy

Deputy Commissioner in thinking that appellant has no right whatever to claim the office which his father held without any hereditary right and resigned it voluntarily. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

"By order"

Under Secretary

5/11/91

R
No. 8726.
P. 116. 12/11/91

Copy forwarded to the Dy: Commr: Tumkur with the ver: proceedings received with his No. 615 dated 4th February/91.

"By order"

Under Secretary